

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/048,243	01/24/2002	Gerold Tebbe	011310	2695	
22876	7590 10/01/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FACTOR & LAKE, LTD 1327 W. WASHINGTON BLVD.			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN		
SUITE 5G/H	SIMMOTON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, 1	L 60607		1771		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/01/200	DATE MAIL ED: 10/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/048,243	TEBBE, GEROLD
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cheryl Juska	1771
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearance (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CO void abandonment of this appliced a timely filed amendment whim all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	NDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		, , , , ,
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	·
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>it is</u>		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·
0. Other:		
		Cheryl Juska Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/048,243

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment is still non-compliant. Specifically, claim 17 has been amended from the last entered amendment (02/13/04), but the claim does not reflect this change. Additionally, claims 52 and 53 were first presented as new claims in the amendment of 08/12/04. However, said amendment was not entered. As such, the proper claim identifier for said claims is "not entered." If applicant wants the subject matter of these claims considered, new claims (i.e., 54 and 55) must be submitted.